## APPENDIX II.

[Vide answer to question No. 520 asked by Mr. Basheer Ahmad Sayeed at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 11th October 1928, page 361 supra.]

FINANCE DEPARTMENT.

### NOTE FOR THE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

[SUBJECT.—*Construction of additional blocks in the Madras Record office.*]

*Summary of the proposals of the Head of the Department.*

There are at present six record blocks in the Madras Record office which are almost full. Additional accommodation is urgently required for fifteen years records of the Board of Revenue that cannot be transferred for lack of space and for the Secretariat records subsequent to those of the year 1919.

2. In plan * C. A. 203 the scheme of future development is outlined. For the present, it is proposed to construct only two-thirds of the centre block containing two compartments on the ground floor and two on the first floor. The orientation east-west has been selected in consultation with the Consulting Architect so as to avoid the defects of the orientation of the existing blocks which, running north-south, are exposed to the morning and evening sun.

* Plan not printed.

3. The cost of constructing the four compartments is estimated at Rs. 95,000. The cost of racks is estimated at Rs. 45,000. The cost of a block similar to the existing blocks would be Rs. 65,000 inclusive of the cost of racks. Thus, the cost of completing the four compartments equipped with racks will be Rs 1,40,000, i.e., Rs. 10,000 in excess of the cost of completing two blocks on the existing design. The accommodation in the four compartments will, however, be about two and a half times the accommodation provided by each old block.

4. Of the expenditure on the scheme, Rs. 95,000, the cost of the building, will be incurred during 1925–26 and Rs. 45,000, the estimated cost of racks, will be incurred in the following year.

5. The construction of the proposed block will afford adequate accommodation for the requirements of the next fifteen years.

### NOTE BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT.

The necessity for the provision of additional space for Government records in the Madras Record office was brought to the notice of Government last year; but, as the proposal was received too late to admit of provision being made in the current year's budget, the Officer in charge, Madras Record office, was asked to submit his proposals in sufficient time to admit of the necessary provision being made in the budget for 1925-26. The necessity and urgency of the proposals have been established. It is far more economical to construct the block on the proposed plan than to perpetuate the existing

type of block. Apart from considerations of economy, the existing type is considered to be unsuitable both by the officer in charge and by the Consulting Architect. It is accordingly proposed to ask the Public Works Department to include a provision of Rs. 95,000 on account of the scheme in their budget for 1925-26.

J. B. Brown—2-10-24.

---

### Note by the Finance Department.

The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part II scheme for 1925–26.

R. W. Davies—3-10-24.

---

TAMIL NADU LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

## Appendix III

[Vide item IV "The Annamalai University Bill" at page 372 supra.]

## BILL No. 9 OF 1928.

*The Annamalai University Bill.*

---

To

The Honourable the LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
of the GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee appointed to consider the Annamalai University Bill (Bill No. 9 of 1928), have the honour to submit the following report.

2. The Bill was published in an extraordinary issue of the *Fort St. George Gazette* in English on 24th August 1928 and in an ordinary issue of the *Fort St. George Gazette* on 28th August 1928.

3. The Committee met on the 4th and 5th October 1928 for the consideration of the Bill and passing their report.

4. The Committee have made various amendments to the Bill of which the more important are mentioned below :—

(i) The definition of the word 'Founder' in the original Bill contained no provision for the reversion of the office to a member of the family in the male line after a temporary period of descent through females, in case a